# OURNAL 0

## Stereochemical Investigations of Isochromenones and Isobenzofuranones Isolated from Leptosphaeria sp. KTC 727

Wilanfranco C. Tayone,<sup>+</sup> Miho Honma,<sup>‡</sup> Saki Kanamaru,<sup>‡</sup> Shogo Noguchi,<sup>‡</sup> Kazuaki Tanaka,<sup>‡</sup> Tatsuo Nehira,<sup>§</sup> and Masaru Hashimoto<sup>\*,†,†</sup>

<sup>+</sup>The United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Iwate University, 18-8, Ueda 3, Morioka, 020-8550, Japan

<sup>‡</sup>Faculty of Agriculture and Life Science, Hirosaki University, 3 Bunkyo-cho, Hirosaki 036-8561, Japan

<sup>§</sup>Graduate School of Integrated Arts and Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-7-1, Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8521, Japan

Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Two new metabolites, (*R*)-3,4-dihydro-4,6,8-trihydroxy-4,5-dimethyl-3-methyleneisochromen-1-one (1) and (R)-7-hydroxy-3-((S)-1-hydroxyethyl)-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethylisobenzofuran-1(3H)-one (2), were isolated along thyl)-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethylisobenzoturan-1(3H)-one (2), were isolated along with two structurally known related compounds (3 and 4) from the culture broth of Leptosphaeria sp. KTC 727 (JCM 13076 = MAFF 239586). These structures were disclosed mainly with <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopic analyses. The relative configuration of 2 was established by NOE studies. The absolute configuration of this molecule was determined by a combination of the modified Mosher's method and CD spectra after derivatizations. The theoretical CD profiles also supported these assignments. Structural correlations enabled us to establish the absolute configurations of metabolites 1, 3, and 4, in which configurations of the latter two had not been established. Compound 2 exhibited the strongest antifungal activity



among them, inhibiting the hyphal growth of Cochliobolus miyabeanus at about 0.5  $\mu$ g/mL.

In the course of our investigations exploring novel biologically active metabolites from ecologically unique fungi,<sup>1–5</sup> the EtO-Ac extracts from the culture broth of Leptosphaeria sp. KTC 727  $(JCM 13076^6 = MAFF 239586^7)$  potently inhibited the growth of the fungus Cochliobolus miyabeanus. Further purification and investigation disclosed new isochromenone 1 and isobenzofuranone 2 from the aforementioned extracts. This study enabled us to establish the configurations of not only 1 and 2 but also structurally related 3 and 4, which were also isolated from the extracts. The antifungal activities of 1-4 against Cochliobolus miyabeanus are also reported.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When the extracts were fractionated by silica gel column chromatography, activity was found in the fraction that eluted with 20% EtOAc/hexane. Further purification afforded 1 (1.9 mg), 2 (91.3 mg), 3 (13.3 mg), and 4 (3.3 mg). Although 3 and 4 are known metabolites from Halorosellinia oceanica,<sup>8</sup> our investigation as described in this report disclosed 1 and 2 as new compounds.

The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 1 strongly resembled those of 3 except that the C-6 methoxy group was missing. The C-6 resonance appeared at 166.2 ppm in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum to indicate a phenol function at this position, establishing the desmethyl form of 3. The molecular formula of 1 was determined to be  $C_{12}H_{12}O_5$  on the basis of the protonated molecular ion peak at m/z 237.0773. The provided molecular formula was " $CH_2$ " smaller than that of 3, which is consistent with the above



assumption. The HMBC correlations (Table 1) led us to confirm the suggested structure.

The ESIMS spectrum of 2 showed a protonated molecular ion signal at m/z 253.1071, indicating its molecular formula as  $C_{13}H_{16}O_5$ . The mass was two units greater than 3 or 4. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, the doublet methyl signal (6.8 Hz) was at 0.93 ppm in place of the singlet (1.74 ppm) observed in 1. These

Special Issue: Special Issue in Honor of Koji Nakanishi

Received: November 17, 2010 Published: January 25, 2011

### Table 1. NMR Spectroscopic Data (<sup>1</sup>H, 500 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C, 125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for 1, 2, and 3

	1			2			3	
position	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ , mult.	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	HMBC <sup>a</sup>	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ , mult.	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)	$\mathrm{HMBC}^{b}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ , mult.	$\delta_{ m H}$ (J in Hz)
1	166.9, C			171.5, C			166.4, C	
3	160.5, C			91.3, C			160.6, C	
4	72.1, C			112.2, C			72.1, C	
5	114.2, C			165.4, C			116.4, C	
6	166.2, C			98.2, CH	6.43, s	1, 4, 5, 7, 7a	165.8, C	
7	102.7, CH	6.40, s	5, 8, 8a	156.5, C			98.6, CH	6.44, s
8	162.6, C			70.9, CH	4.22, m		163.2, C	
9	95.3, CH <sub>2</sub>	5.10, d (1.7)	3	17.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	0.93, d (6.8)	3, 8	95.4, CH <sub>2</sub>	5.10, d (2.0)
		4.97, d (1.7)	3, 4					4.96, d (2.0)
10	29.1, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.74, s	3, 4, 4a	21.3, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.81, s	3, 3a, 8	29.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	1.74, s
11	11.8, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.44, s	4a, 5, 6	11.2, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.11, s	3a, 4, 5	12.0, CH <sub>3</sub>	2.39, s
3a				149.9, C				
4a	143.8, C						142.4, C	
7a				103.0, C				
8a	99.2, C						98.2, C	
C <sub>5</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>				56.3	3.88, s	5		
C <sub>6</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub>							56.0	3.87, s
C-7 OH					7.88, s	6, 7, 7a		
C-8 OH		11.20, s	7, 8, 8a		1.97, d (10.3)			11.32, s

<sup>*a*</sup> HMBC correlations are from the proton(s) stated to the indicated carbon. <sup>*b*</sup> H-8 in **2** showed no HMBC correlations, which could eliminate **5** as the possible structure.



Figure 1. NOEs observed in 2.

analyses suggested structures 2 and 5 as the possible structures. Although HMBC and IR spectra did not distinguish between these two, irradiation of the arylmethyl signal (2.11 ppm, H<sub>3</sub>-11 in structure 2) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR induced a considerable NOE at the doublet methyl (H<sub>3</sub>-9 in structure 2), which unambiguously excludes the possibility of 5. This was confirmed by highfrequency shifts of H-8 by esterifications of the C-8 OH, giving 6, 7, and 8, as will be described later.

Compound 2 has two asymmetric centers. Irradiation of  $H_3$ -11 of 2 induced NOEs not only at  $H_3$ -9 but at  $H_3$ -10, and H-8 also as shown in Figure 1. The NOE between  $H_3$ -11 and H-8 was most prominent. Similar NOE profiles were observed for diesters 6, 7, and 8. These observations indicated that the C-9 and C-10 methyls are almost fixed conformationally by taking an *anti*orientation in these compounds. Only this conformation provides enough room for the bulky C-8 ester groups in 6–8. These data suggested a  $3R^*,8S^*$ -isomer. This is the lone isomer that satisfied these NOEs.

The absolute configuration of **2** was then studied by taking advantage of the C-8 secondary alcohol. Conventional conditions for MTPA esters<sup>9</sup> afforded diastereomeric bis-MTPA esters **6** and **7**. In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **6** and **7**, H-8 (5.74 and



**Figure 2.**  $\Delta \delta$  values  $(\delta_S - \delta_R)$  of MTPA esters **6** and 7 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

5.70 ppm, respectively) shifted to a higher frequency from that of **2** (4.22 ppm), which confirmed the C-8 OH group. The chemical shifts of (*R*,*R*)-bis-MTPA ester 7 in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum were subtracted from the corresponding signals in the (*S*,*S*)-bis-MTPA ester **6** to give the  $\Delta\delta$  values as shown in Figure 2. Based on Kusumi's modified Mosher's method,<sup>9</sup> the obtained  $\Delta\delta$  values indicated an *S*-configuration for the C-8 stereogenic center. However, these results were not conclusive because (1) **2** is a sterically hindered alcohol (application for less hindered alcohols is recommended), (2) the other MTPA group at C-7 is spatially close and might induce undesirable effects on the  $\Delta\delta$  values, and (3) only three signals were available for these analyses (Kusumi recommends consideration of as many signals as possible for a higher level of confidence in the assignment of configuration).

To reinforce the presumed absolute configuration assignment, CD spectroscopic analyses were employed. Naphthoyl groups were covalently introduced at the C-7 and C-8 hydroxy groups in **2** as exciton-coupling chromophores, yielding bisnaphthoate **8**.

As shown in Figure 3, the observed CD for 8 in CH<sub>3</sub>CN exhibited a pair of strong exciton-split Cotton effects at 243 nm ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  -74) and 227 nm ( $\Delta \varepsilon$  +26). This result is qualitatively attributable to a negative chirality for the two naphthoyl groups as depicted, suggesting a 3*R*,8*S*-configuration.<sup>10</sup> Independently



Figure 3. Experimental and calculated CD (upper) and UV (lower) spectra of dinaphthoate 8.

Scheme 1. Chemical Correlations among 2, 3, and 4



from this empirical assignment, theoretical calculations were also performed to account for the CD appearance.<sup>11</sup> The CD curve of (3*R*,8*S*)-8 was obtained by the time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) using the B3LYP functional<sup>12</sup> at a triple- $\zeta$  quality with a TZVP basis set.<sup>13</sup> These calculations provided the CD spectra for each conformation. The final CD spectrum was obtained by considering their Boltzmann distributions. The resultant CD curve from the calculations reproduced the experimental CD profile well enough to confirm the absolute configuration.

Chemical correlations enabled us to establish as well the chiralities of 3 and 4, which have not yet been reported. Swern oxidation of 2 smoothly produced 4 as shown in Scheme 1. It was also found that basic methanolysis of 3 followed by neutralization gave rise to a ring contraction, providing 4 in good yield. The NMR data and CD spectra of synthetic 4 prepared from 2 and 3 were identical to those of natural 4, disclosing its 3*R*-configuration. The absolute configuration of 1 was also proposed to be *R*, by observing the same sign of the optical rotations for both 1 (+161, *c* 0.19) and 3 (+96, *c* 0.11). The configurations of the oxygen-bearing quaternary centers (C4 in 1 and 3, C3 in 2 and 4) thus revealed the same chirality, which is plausible considering their biogenesis.



Figure 4. Experimental and calculated CD spectra for 4.

Additionally, although the intensity could not be exactly reproduced, the calculated CD profile of (R)-4 accorded well with that of the experimentally obtained spectrum of natural 4 (Figure 4). This further supports the *R*-configuration of 4 as discussed above. The calculations were performed in a similar manner as described for 8. The difference observed in CD intensities between theoretical and experimental spectra may be attributed to overestimation of the UV absorbance in the calculations.

As described, novel isochromenone and isobenzofuranone derivatives were isolated from the culture broth of *Leptosphaeria* sp. KTC 727. NOE experiments revealed the relative configuration of **2**. The absolute configuration of **2** was established through the modified Mosher's method, which was further confirmed with CD studies involving both experimental data and theoretical calculations. This study also established the configurations of **1**, **3**, and **4** based on chemical correlations and comparison of their CD/ $[\alpha]_D$  data.

Finally, 1-4 were subjected to an antifungal assay against *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* to disclose the IC<sub>50</sub> values at ca. 10, 500, 0.5, and 10  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively. Minor structural differences of metabolites lead to significant differences in the ability to inhibit fungal hyphal growth.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Experimental Procedures.** The optical rotation values were measured on a Horiba SEP-700 spectrometer. UV spectra were obtained with a Hitachi U-2010 spectrophotometer. CD spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-725 spectropolarimeter. Measurements of IR spectra were performed with a Horiba FT-720 spectrometer on a KBr cell. The <sup>1</sup>H (500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C (125 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-ECA500 spectrometer. In CDCl<sub>3</sub>, the signal due to tetramethylsilane (0 ppm) was used as the standard, while the CHD<sub>2</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub> peak at 2.04 ppm was employed for acetone- $d_6$ . Electrospray ionization (ESI) MS spectra were obtained from a Hitachi NanoFrontier LD spectrometer.

**Fungal Material.** Leptosphaeria sp. KTC 727 (JCM  $13076^6$  = MAFF 239586<sup>7</sup>) was collected on woody debris from Akaiwa, Rebun Island in Hokkaido, Japan, on August 30, 2001. The fungal isolate was deposited at the Japan Collection of Microorganisms (JCM) and the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Japan (MAFF).

**Fermentation and Isolation.** *Leptosphaeria* sp. KTC 727 was cultured in 200 mL potato-sucrose medium [prepared from a potato extract (40 g potato), 4 g of sucrose, and H<sub>2</sub>O] in 500 mL Erlenmeyer

flasks ( $\times$ 5) at 25 °C for 40 days on a rotary shaker (100 rpm). After vacuum filtration, the filtrate was extracted with EtOAc (1.0 L  $\times$  2) and concentrated *in vacuo* to give an extract (350 mg), which was divided into several fractions by silica gel column chromatography. The activity was found in the fractions eluted by 20% EtOAc/hexane. Further silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc system gave 3 (13.3 mg), 1 (1.9 mg), 4 (3.3 mg), and 2 (91.3 mg), respectively, as oils.

(*R*)-3,4-Dihydro-4,6,8-trihydroxy-4,5-dimethyl-3-methyleneisochromen-1-one (1):. colorless oil;  $[\alpha]^{27}_{\rm D}$  +161 (*c* 0.19, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), +64 (*c* 0.12, EtOH); UV (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 214 (4.00), 270 (3.58), 318 (3.39); CD (0.00025 M, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 226 (-2.2), 207 (+5.2) nm; IR (film)  $\nu_{\rm max}$  3328, 2923, 1681, 1650, 1025 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 1; ESIMS *m*/*z* 237.0773 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 237.0758); *R*<sub>f</sub> = 0.6 (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 1:1).

(*R*)-7-Hydroxy-3-((*S*)-1-hydroxyethyl)-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethylisobenzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (2):. colorless oil;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{27} - 27$ (*c* 0.20, CHCl<sub>3</sub>), -27 (*c* 0.37, EtOH); UV (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 212 (4.71), 257 (4.15), 296 (3.86); CD (0.000030 M, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 258 (+2.7), 215 (-7.7) nm; IR (film)  $\nu_{max}$  3401, 2981, 1731, 1054 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), see Table 1; ESIMS *m*/*z* 253.1071 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 253.1070); *R*<sub>f</sub> = 0.3 (silica gel, EtOAc/ hexane. 1:1).

(*R*)-3,4-Dihydro-4,8-dihydroxy-6-methoxy-4,5-dimethyl-3-methyleneisochromen-1-one (3) (ref 8):. colorless oil;  $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$  +150 (*c* 0.05, EtOH), +96 (*c* 0.11, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) [lit. +93 (*c* 0.05, EtOH)]; CD (0.000052 M, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 225 (-7.4), 207 (+12.3) nm. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data in acetone- $d_6$  showed good accordance with those in the literature.

(*R*)-3-Acetyl-7-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3,4-dimethylisobenzofuran-1(3*H*)-one (4) (ref 8):. colorless oil;  $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$  +20 (*c* 0.14, EtOH), +100 (*c* 0.09, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) [(lit. +200 (*c* 0.05, EtOH)]; CD (0.00024 M, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 236 (+1.6), 213 (-4.0) nm. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data in acetone-*d*<sub>6</sub> showed good accordance with those in the literature.

**Bis-(5)-MTPA Ester 6.** Compound 2 (2.0 mg, 8.0  $\mu$ mol) was treated with *R*-(-)-MTPACl (10 mg, 40  $\mu$ mol) in pyridine (200  $\mu$ L) at room temperature for 2 days. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL) and washed successively with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and 1.0 M aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL). After the organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, the filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give the crude product, which was purified by preparative TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1:9) to give bis-(S)-MTPA ester 6 (0.90 mg) as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.26–7.80 (10H, aromatic protons), 6.56 (1H, s, H-6), 5.74 (1H, q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, H-8), 3.58, 3.76 (each 3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 2), 2.31 (3H, s, H<sub>3</sub>-11), 1.69 (3H, s, H<sub>3</sub>-10), 0.98 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, H<sub>3</sub>-9); ESIMS *m/z* 685.1833 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>31</sub>F<sub>6</sub>O<sub>9</sub>, 685.1867); *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.5 (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 1:9).

**Bis-(***R***)-MTPA Ester 7.** In the same manner as described above, 2 (2.5 mg, 10.0  $\mu$ mol) was treated with S-(+)-MTPACl (10 mg, 40  $\mu$ mol) to give bis-(S)-MTPA ester 7 (1.5 mg) as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD-Cl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.41–7.80 (10H, aromatic protons), 6.57 (1H, s, H-6), 5.70 (1H, q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, H-8), 3.90 (3H, s, C5OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.61, 3.74 (each 3H, s, OCH<sub>3</sub> × 2), 2.28 (3H, s, H<sub>3</sub>-11), 1.51 (3H, s, H<sub>3</sub>-10), 1.04 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, H<sub>3</sub>-9); ESIMS *m*/*z* 685.1876 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>31</sub>F<sub>6</sub>O<sub>9</sub>, 685.1867); *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.5 (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 1:9).

**Dinaphthoate 8.** Compound 2 (8.0 mg, 32  $\mu$ mol) was stirred with 2-naphthoyl chloride (47.7 mg, 251  $\mu$ mol) and 4-*N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine (3.1 mg, 25  $\mu$ mol) in pyridine (300  $\mu$ L) at 65 °C for 8 h. MeOH (30  $\mu$ L) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (20 mL), washed successively with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration, the filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give the crude product. Preparative silica gel TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1:3) gave dinaphthoate 8 (7.7 mg, 14  $\mu$ mol) as a colorless oil:  $[\alpha]^{21}{}_{\rm D}$  -72 (*c* 0.11, EtOH); UV (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 239 (5.08); CD (0.0000035 M, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 243 (-73.9), 227 (+26.2) nm; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz)  $\delta$  7.56-8.91 (14H, aromatic naphthoate protons), 7.01 (1H, s, C6H), 5.96 (1H, q, *J* = 6.3 Hz, C8H), 3.98 (3H, s, C6OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.41 (3H, s, C4CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.81 (3H, s, C3CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.16 (3H, d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, C9H<sub>3</sub>); ESIMS *m*/*z* 561.1889 [M + H]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>29</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 561.1908); *R*<sub>f</sub> 0.5 (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 3:7).

Swern Oxidation of 2 Providing 4. To a solution of DMSO (13.7 mg, 176  $\mu$ mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (400  $\mu$ L) was added oxalylchloride  $(20.3 \text{ mg}, 160 \,\mu\text{mol})$  at  $-78 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ . After stirring for 20 min, a solution of 2 (2.1 mg, 8.4  $\mu$ mol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (500  $\mu$ L) was added at the same temperature. After 40 min, triethylamine (32.3 mg, 320 µmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to warm to 0 °C. The mixture was poured into  $H_2O$  (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (20 mL). The organic solution was washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then concentrated in vacuo. Preparative silica gel column chromatography of the residue (EtOAc/hexane, 3:7) gave 4 (1.8 mg, 7.0  $\mu$ mol, 83%). CD spectra, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and chromatographic behavior of this sample were identical with those of natural 4. Colorless oil:  $[\alpha]^{21}_{D}$  +24 (*c* 0.10, EtOH); UV (CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) 213 (3.64), 259 (3.25), 299 (2.95); CD (0.00027 M, CH<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\Delta \varepsilon$ ) 235 (+1.3), 213 (-4.6) nm (see Supporting Information); ESIMS m/z251.0907  $[M + H]^+$  (calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 251.0914);  $R_f = 0.4$  (silica gel, EtOAc/hexane, 1:1).

**Chemical Correlation of 3 with 4.** A solution of 3 (1.0 mg, 4.0  $\mu$ mol) in MeOH (200  $\mu$ L) was stirred with sodium methoxide (1.1 mg, 20  $\mu$ mol) for 1 h at room temperature. The mixture was poured into 0.2 M aqueous HCl solution and extracted with EtOAc (20 mL). The organic solution was washed with brine (20 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then concentrated *in vacuo*. Silica gel column chromatography of the residue (EtOAc/hexane, 1:4) gave 4 (0.9 mg, 90%). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR, CD spectra, and chromatographic behaviors were identical to those of natural 4.

**Biological Assay.** Solutions of *Cochliobolus miyabeanus* spores provided by Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation were prepared containing 500, 100, 50, 10, 5.0 1.0, and 0.5  $\mu$ g/mL in two replicates for each metabolite (1–4) with 2% sucrose in DMSO. After 36 h at 25 °C, germination and the shapes of the spores were observed under a microscope. The IC<sub>50</sub> values were determined by the concentration that showed 50% inhibition of germination.

CD Calculation for (3R,8S)-8. A standard conformational search by  $\text{CONFLEX6}^{14}$  using MMFF94S as the force field gave more than 200 stable conformers. Selected conformers (26) with distributions higher than 1% were further optimized by the density functional theory method at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level by Gaussian 09,15 leading to 22 representative conformers. Nine stable conformers, which represent more than 73.3% of the distribution, were subjected to the TDDFT calculation in Gaussian 09 for 48 excited states at the B3LYP/TZVP level. To guarantee the quality of all calculations, it was verified that the computed 48 transition states contain virtual orbitals with negative eigenvalues and have energies (3.9-5.9 eV) reasonably below the computed ionization potential (6.3 eV). The resultant rotational strengths for each conformer were converted to a Gaussian-type curve with a half-bandwidth of 2800  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and summed to give a CD curve. Those CD curves were weighted based on the Boltzmann distribution and added to afford the final CD calculation curve. Although the curve is not derived from all possible conformers, the adopted CD curve does not change much even with the rest of the conformers of distribution below 5%.

**CD Calculation for 4.** Calculations were performed in a similar manner to that described above. A conformational search provided only two stable conformers in this case.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

**Supporting Information.** The NMR spectra of all compounds and other relevant information associated with this article are available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs. acs.org.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*Tel/Fax: +81-172-39-3782. E-mail: hmasaru@cc.hirosaki-u.ac.jp.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Emeritus Professor T. Kusumi of Tokushima University for the fruitful discussions related to the modified Mosher's method. W.C.T. would like to thank Dr. G. G. Lopez, President of Davao Oriental State College of Science and Technology (DOSCST), for allowing him to study in Japan.

#### DEDICATION

Dedicated to Dr. Koji Nakanishi of Columbia University for his pioneering work on bioactive natural products.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Honma, M.; Kudo, S.; Takada, N.; Miura, T.; Hashimoto, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2010**, 20, 709–712.

(2) Tayone, W. C.; Shindo, S.; Murakami, T.; Hashimoto, M.; Tanaka, K.; Takada, N. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 7464–7467.

(3) Kudo, S.; Murakami, T.; Miyanishi, J.; Tanaka, K.; Takada, N.; Hashimoto, M. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **2009**, *73*, 203–204.

(4) Murakami, T.; Sasaki, A.; Fukushi, E.; Kawabata, J.; Hashimoto, M.; Okuno, T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2005**, *15*, 2587–2590.

(5) Murakami, T.; Hashimoto, M.; Okuno, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 4185-4188.

(6) Riken Bioresource Center Japan Collection of Microorganisms. http://www.jcm.riken.go.jp/JCM/genomelist.shtml.

(7) MAFF Gene Bank. http://www.biotech-house.jp/glossary/glos 110.html.

(8) Chinworrungsee, M.; Kittakoop, P.; Isaka, M.; Chanphen, R.; Tanticharoen, M.; Thebtaranonth, Y. J. Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans. 1 2002, 2473–2476.

(9) Kusumi, T.; Hamada, T.; Ishitsuka, O. M.; Ohtani, I.; Kakisawa, H. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, 57, 1033–1035.

(10) Harada, N.; Nakanishi, K. Circular Dichroic Spectroscopy-Exciton Coupling in Organic Stereochemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1983.

(11) Berova, N.; Di Bari, L.; Pescitelli, G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 914–931.

(12) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648-5652.

(13) Schäfer, A.; Christian, H.; Ahlrichs, R. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 100, 5829–5835.

(14) *CONFLEX6*; CONFLEX Corporation: Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 141-0021 Japan, 2009.

(15) Gaussian09; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT 06492, USA, 2009.